## OGR

## COUNCIL ON GOVERNMENTAL RELATIONS

June 26, 1997

1 410 516 5429

an organization of research universities

1200 New York Avenue, N.W., Suize 320 Washington, D.C. 20005

(202) 289-6655/(202) 289-6698 (FAX) BOARD OF DIRECTORS ROBERT B. PRICE CHARMAN

University of Texas Health Science Continent San Antonio

BULLE T. HORRIS, PAST CHAIRMAN Street Charles of Technology

FANETH ACCERMAN Yak University

KENNETH'S BABE Pennsylvania State University

DONALD R BALDWIN University of Washington

KOYCE A BRINTON Harvard University

SUSAN E CUTLEN Washington Doirector

TERENCE A. FEUERBORN University of California System HERRY G! FIFE Unitersity of North Caroline Charlet Hall

IANET LI GREGER University of Wisconsin-Madison

ANN HAMMERSLA Syracuse University

KARL J. HETTELMAN University de California.

CHRISTOPHER MC CRUIDDEN Printeron University

HUDTHIA NOWACK University of Michigan

PRIDERCK A. BOGERS Cartell University RICHARDIP, SELIGMAN

California linguistral THOMAS L. STEENEY Uniberdaylof Norre Deme

DOUGLAS & TRUF University of loss MILTON GOLDBERG

President

KAITHABONA PHOLLOPS Vici President

Dr. Harold Varmus Director National Institutes of Health Building 1, Room 126 Bethesda, Maryland 20892

SUBJECT: CellPro Petition to Exercise March-In Rights

Dear Dr. Varmus:

The Council on Governmental Relations (COGR) has serious connectus about CellPro's petition to exercise "march-in rights" under 35 USC 203 for several inventions that were patented by The Johns Hopkins University and subsequently licensed to Becton Dickinson and Baxter Healthcare.

The COGR membership consists of 141 research-intensive U.S. universities that participate in the transfer of technology from their laboratories to the private sector. Since its enactment in 1980, the Bayh-Dole Act has been very effective in providing a mechanism for universities to actively transfer technology to the private sector in a way that ensures that the public will benefit from new products and services. A critical feature of this process is the exclusive licensing of embryonic technologies to companies that are willing to take significant risks and make critical investments for the development and commercialization of such technologies. Exclusive licenses provide corporations with the necessary protection afforded by the U.S. patent laws and that, in turn, provides an important incendive to those corporations to invest in developing new Accordingly, COGR and its member universities are concerned about any action that would decrease the certainty that exclusive licensees require when they assume the economic risk of commercializing: federally-funded inventions. Decreasing that certainty will underthine the extraordinarily positive societal benefits of the Bayh-Dole Act.

The exercise of "tourch-in rights" is permitted under 35 WSC 203 when reasonable steps have not been taken to assure practical application of a federally-funded invention or to alleviate health or safety needs that are not reasonably satisfied by the licensee. COGR believes that the actions

1 410 516 5420

Page 3 of 3 P. 02

Dr. Varmus June 26, 1997 Page Two

taken by The Johns Hopkins University and its exclusive licensees have met this requirement. We also note that commitments have been made by Johns Hopkins University licensees to assure availability of the technology to the public and to all affected patients.

If NIH exercises its march-in rights to resolve a dispute of this nature, currently being addressed in the courts, the potential value of patent rights derived from federal funding and largest in private industry could be significantly diminished. This, in turn, will lead to a critical reduction in the private sector's interest in licensing new university mechanology thereby slowing or preventing the adoption of innovations important to the welfare of the general public.

Given our understanding of the available facts in this maner, COGR inges NIH to demy the petition from CellPro.

Sincerely,

Milton Goldberg